General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some
 of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original
 submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

This paper was submitted for publication in the open literature at least 6 months prior to the issuance date of this Microcard. Since the U.S.A.E.C. has no evidence that it has been published, the paper is being distributed in Microcard form as a preprint.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

SEP 2 1 1969

NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL NUCLEON-MESON CASCADE EQUATIONS*

R. G. Alsmiller, Jr. (Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee;

J. E. Murphy** J. Barish Central Data Processing Facility (Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant Oak Ridge, Tennessee

> This paper was submitted for publication in the open literature at least amonths prior to the issuance date of this Microcard. Since the U.S.A.E.C. has no evidence that it has been published, the paper is being distributed in Microcard form as a preprint.

-LEGAL NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Mr kes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use

of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe

of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumed any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

*Research sponsored partially by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract with the Union Carbide Corporation and partially by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Order R-104. **Present address: Arthur D. Little Company, Boston, Massachusetts.

NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL NUCLEON-MESON CASCADE EQUATIONS*

1 E-P.

R. G. Alsmiller, Jr.
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

J. E. Murphy**
J. Barish
Central Data Processing Facility
Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Abstract

In shielding calculations for high-energy accelerators it is necessary to solve the nucleon-meson cascade equations numerically for very large distances. For the case of a 10-GeV proton beam and a set of quite special physical assumptions, an analytic solution has been obtained and compared with the numerical solution. The two solutions are shown to be in excellent agreement for thicknesses as large as 30 collision mean free paths (~2800 g/cm²).

^{*}Research sponsored partially by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract with the Union Carbide Corporation and partially by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Order R-104.

**Present address: Arthur D. Little Company, Boston, Massachusetts.

I. Introduction

In a series of reports numerical solutions to the equations describing a one-dimensional nucleon-meson cascade have been given for a variety of cases of interest in the shielding of manned space vehicles and high-energy accelerators. In the case of accelerator shielding where very thick shields are involved, the numerical calculations are quite extensive and the truncation error could be excessive.

For a very special case an analytic (quadrature) solution to the cascade equations has recently been obtained.⁵ In this paper the numerical solution and the analytic solution are compared for the case of a 10-GeV proton beam and are shown to be in excellent agreement after a shield thickness of 30 collision mean free paths.*

In Section II the cascade equations are given. In Section III the assumptions used in obtaining the analytic solution are described and the solution is given. In Section IV the comparison between the analytic and numerical solutions is shown.

II. Cascade Equations

In writing the cascade equations we shall neglect neutral pions since they decay very rapidly into two photons and photons are not included. Furthermore, no distinction will be made between positively and negatively charged pions, and charged pion decay will be neglected.**

Under these circumstances the one-dimensional cascade equations for the particle fluxes may be written^{6,7}

$$\Phi_{ij}(E,r) = \Phi_{j}(E_{j}) \frac{S_{j}(E_{j})}{S_{j}(E)} e^{E} \qquad , j = N,P,\pi , \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{E}^{E_{j}} \frac{dE'}{S_{j}(E')} = r , \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \, \Phi_{\mathbf{sj}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{r}) \, + \, \mathbf{Q_{j}}(\mathbf{E}) \, \Phi_{\mathbf{sj}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{r}) \, - \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{E}} \, \left[\mathbf{S_{j}}(\mathbf{E}) \, \Phi_{\mathbf{sj}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{r}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \int_{E}^{\infty} F_{jk}(E',E) Q_{k}(E') \left[\Phi_{sk}(E',r) + \Phi_{jk}(E',r) \right] dE' ,$$

$$j,k = N,P,\pi$$
 , (2.3)

where

 N,P,π = neutron, proton, and charged pion, respectively,

 $\Phi_{j}(E)$ = arbitrary initial value flux spectrum,

 $\Phi_{i,j}(E,r)$ = primary flux per unit energy range of particles of type j,

 $\phi_{sj}(E,r)$ = secondary flux per unit energy range of particles of type j,

E = kinetic energy,

 $r = dimensionless distance variable defined by the relation <math>r = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_0} R$,

 $\rho = \text{density of medium, in g/cm}^3$,

R = distance, in cm,

 λ_0 = an arbitrary constant with dimensions g/cm² which determines the units in which r is measured,

$$Q_j(E) = \frac{\lambda_0 N_0}{A} \sigma_j(E),$$

No = Avogadro's number,

σ_j(E) = nonelastic cross section for particles of type j in the medium being considered,

A = atomic weight of medium being considered,

$$S_{j}(E) = \frac{\lambda_{0} N_{0}}{A} \epsilon_{j}(E),$$

 $\epsilon_{j}(E)$ = stopping cross section for particles of type j,

 $F_{jk}(E',E)$ dE = the number of secondary particles of type j in the energy interval E to E + dE produced by the nonelastic collision of a particle of type k with energy E'.

III. Physical Assumptions and Analytic Solution

To reduce the equations to soluble form, we introduce the assumptions

$$Q_{j}(E) = Q = constant, j = N,P,\pi$$
, (3.1)

$$S_j(E) = S = constant, j = P, \pi$$
, (3.2)

$$S_{N}(E) = 0 , \qquad (3.3)$$

$$F_{ij}(E',E) = \alpha_i \gamma_j e^{\nu(E'-E)} \Theta(E'-E), \quad i,j = N,P,\pi , \qquad (3.4)$$

 α_{i} , γ_{j} , $\nu = constant$,

$$\odot$$
(E' - E) = 1 E' \geq E = 0 E' < E .

These assumptions are, of course, introduced for their simplicity and represent only very approximately a real physical system. In particular, Eq. (3.4) is not very realistic. With appropriate choice of ν the E dependence may be made approximately reasonable, but the resulting particle multiplicity varies much too rapidly with E'.

With the assumptions of Eqs. (3.1) to (3.4) a quadrature solution to Eqs. (2.1) to (2.3) can be obtained. The details of obtaining the solution are given in Ref. 5, so only the solution itself will be given here. Furthermore, since only the case of a monoenergetic initial proton flux is of interest here, only the solution appropriate to this case will be given.

Using the initial fluxes

$$\Phi_{p}(E) = P_{0} \delta(E_{0} - E)$$
,
 $\Phi_{j}(E) = 0$, $j = N, \pi$, (3.5)

where $P_{0}=$ constant and $E_{0}=$ energy of incident protons, the solution may be written

$$\Phi_{iP}(E,r) = P_0 \delta(E_0 - E - Sr) e^{-Qr}$$
, (3.6)

$$\Phi_{\rm sP}(E,r) = P_0 \alpha_{\rm p} \gamma_{\rm p} e^{-Qr} K(E_0 - E,r) , \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\Phi_{\rm s\pi}(E,r) = P_{\rm o} \alpha_{\pi} \gamma_{\rm P} e^{-Qr} K(E_{\rm o} - E,r) ,$$
 (3.8)

$$\Phi_{\rm sN}(E,r) = P_{\rm o} \alpha_{\rm N} \gamma_{\rm P} e^{-Qr} H(E_{\rm o} - E,r) \Theta(E_{\rm o} - E) ,$$
 (3.9)

where

$$K(E_{o} - E, r) = \left[\frac{r}{\beta_{c}(E_{o} - E - Sr)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{1} \left[2\sqrt{\beta_{c}(E_{o} - E - Sr)r}\right]$$

$$\cdot e^{\nu(E_{o} - E - Sr)} \Theta(E_{o} - E - Sr)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{r} dr' \Theta(E_{o} - E - Sr + Sr') \left\{\int_{0}^{r'} dr'' \left(I_{o} \left[2\sqrt{\beta_{c}(E_{o} - E - Sr + Sr' - Sr'') r''}\right]\right]$$

$$\cdot \left[\frac{\beta_{N}(E_{o} - E - Sr + Sr' - Sr'')}{r' - r''}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{1} \left[2\sqrt{\beta_{N}(E_{o} - E - Sr + Sr' - Sr'')(r' - r'')}\right]\right\},$$
(3.10)

$$H(E_{o} - E, r) = \int_{0}^{r} dr' I_{c} \left[2\sqrt{\beta_{c}(E_{o} - E - Sr') r'} \right]$$

$$\cdot I_{o} \left[2\sqrt{\beta_{N}(E_{o} - E - Sr')(r - r')} \right]$$

$$\cdot e^{\nu(E_{o} - E - Sr')} \Theta(E' - E - Sr') , \qquad (3.11)$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{N}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} \gamma_{\mathbf{N}} Q ,$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{c}} = (\alpha_{\mathbf{P}} \gamma_{\mathbf{P}} + \alpha_{\pi} \gamma_{\pi}) Q ,$$
(3.12)

and I_0 and I_1 are the usual hyperbolic Bessel functions.

IV. Comparison of Numerical and Analytic Solutions

In doing the numerical computations the constants appearing in the equations were chosen to be

$$Q_{j} = 1,$$
 $j = N,P,\pi$, $S_{j} = 187.6 \text{ MeV},$ $j = P,\pi$, $S_{N} = 0,$ $v = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ $\alpha_{j} = 10^{-2}$ $j = N,P,\pi$, $\gamma_{j} = 1/v$ $j = N,P,\pi$, $\gamma_{o} = 93.8 \text{ g/cm}^{2}$,

and the constants in the initial flux were chosen to be

$$P_0 = 1 \text{ pro./cm}^2 \text{ sec}$$
, $E_0 = 10 \text{ GeV}$.

Before giving the comparison, it is perhaps worth while to make one point. In obtaining the numerical solutions all calculations are done in terms of a lethargy variable, u, defined by

$$u = log \cdot \left[\frac{E_0}{E}\right]$$
,

and in terms of lethargy

$$S(u) = \frac{S}{E} = \frac{S}{E_0 e^{-u}} ;$$

i.e., the stopping power is not constant.*** Thus in doing the calculations a variation of the stopping power in lethargy was taken into account.

From Eqs. (2.1) and (3.6) it follows that the calculation of the primary flux in the present instance is quite trivial. The two calculations give for all practical purposes the same answer, and therefore a comparison of the primary flux is not given.

In Fig. 1 the secondary neutron flux as a function of energy for various r values is shown, and in Fig. 2 the secondary proton flux as a function of energy is shown. The solid curves represent the numerical solution, while the plotted points represent the analytic solution. Because of the manner in which the constants are chosen, the comparison of the pion fluxes is exactly the same as the comparison of the proton fluxes and is therefore not shown.

The two solutions are in excellent agreement at all energies and all r values considered. At the very high energies (all curves go to zero at 10 GeV) the numerical solution for the proton flux tends to be slightly higher than the analytic solution, but, since the spectrum is decreasing so rapidly at these energies, the error is of no practical importance.

Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank Dr. F. S. Alsmiller for many helpful discussions concerning both the numerical calculations and the analytic solution.

Footnotes

- *The IBM code which was used in doing the numerical calculations reported here is an improved version of the code used before; 1-4 however, it does not give appreciably different results from those obtained previously.
- **This decay is neglected here because the analytic solution can be obtained only under this assumption. In general, our numerical solutions include this decay and the resulting muon component.
- ***The cascade equations written in terms of lethargy are given in Ref. 2.

References

- 1. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., and J. E. Murphy, Space Vehicle Shielding Studies:

 Calculation of the Attenuation of a Model Solar Flare and Monoenergetic

 Proton Beams by Aluminum Shields, ORNL-3317 (1963); Part II, The Attenuation

 of Solar Flares by Aluminum Shields, ORNL-3520 (1964); Part III, The Attenuation of a Particular Solar Flare by an Aluminum Shield, ORNL-3549 (1964).
- 2. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., F. S. Alsmiller, and J. E. Murphy, <u>Nucleon-Meson Cas-cade Calculations</u>: <u>Transverse Shielding for a 45-GeV Electron Accelerator</u>,
 Part I, ORNL-3289 (1962); Part II, ORNL-3365 (1962); Part III, ORNL-3412 (1963).
- 3. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., and J. E. Murphy, <u>Nucleon-Meson Cascade Calculations</u>:

 The Star Density Produced by a 24-GeV Proton Beam in Heavy Concrete, ORNL3367 (1963).
- 4. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., and J. E. Murphy, <u>Nucleon-Meson Cascade Calculations</u>:
 Shielding Against an 800-MeV Proton Beam, ORNL-3406 (1963).
- 5. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., A Solution to the Nucleon-Meson Cascade Equations Under Very Special Conditions, ORNL-3570 (1964).
- 6. H. Messel, <u>Progress in Cosmic Ray Physics</u>, Vol. II, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1954), p. 197.
- 7. B. Rossi, <u>High-Energy Particles</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey (1956), p. 232.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 Secondary Neutron Flux vs. Energy ($E_0 = 10$ GeV). Numerical solution; x analytic solution; r is measured in collision lengths (= 93.8 g/cm²).
- Fig. 2 Secondary Proton Flux vs. Energy ($E_0 = 10 \text{ GeV}$). Numerical solution; X analytic solution; r is measured in collision lengths (= 93.8 g/cm²).



